

Pappas Telecasting Companies' is donating airtime to Republican candidates, abusing their access to public airwaves. It is an abuse because Pappas is not donating equal airtime to any other party.

This is a clear example of the problems that arise when large corporate entities own many tv or radio stations. Pappas' actions will give one political party a venue, a "louder voice" than others. Local audiences expect and should be getting genuine debate -- not disingenuous offers to "purchase" an equal amount of response time.

Pappas uses the public airwaves free of charge and is obligated by law to serve the public interest. The actions Pappas is taking may be illegal. I do not believe Pappas is serving the public interest; more likely they are serving their own in trying to sway an election to the candidates of their choice.

This is another example of abuse and why media ownership rules need to be strengthened. Additionally, this example shows why the license renewal process needs to involve more than just a returned postcard. Thank you.